### 21 C.J.S. Courts § 264

Corpus Juris Secundum | May 2023 Update

#### **Courts**

M. Elaine Buccieri, J.D.; James Buchwalter, J.D.; Amy G. Gore, J.D., of the staff of the National Legal Research Group, Inc; and Lonnie E. Griffith, Jr., J.D.

#### VIII. Concurrent and Conflicting Jurisdiction

- A. Courts of Same State
- 2. Transfer of Cases
- b. Mode of and Procedure for Transfer; Denial of Transfer

§ 264. Transfer of case on court's own motion, generally

Topic Summary | References | Correlation Table

## **West's Key Number Digest**

West's Key Number Digest, Courts 487(2), 487(4), 487(9), 487(10)

Generally, an application to transfer a case can only be made by a party to the action or a person who has intervened in that action, but if permitted by statute, a court can transfer a case on its own motion.

Generally, an application to transfer a case can only be made by a party to the action or a person who has intervened in that action.<sup>1</sup> Either party may move for a transfer based on lack of subject-matter jurisdiction; plaintiffs are not barred from doing so on the ground that they have elected the forum.<sup>2</sup>

A court can transfer a case on its own motion, at least where expressly permitted to do so by statute or rule.<sup>3</sup> Under a mandatory constitutional provision stipulating that causes erroneously brought

in equity court "shall" be transferred to the appropriate court of law, a chancellor in equity acts properly in transferring the case on his or her own motion rather than dismissing it.<sup>4</sup>

Where the court to which a case is appealed, whether the court of last resort or a subordinate appellate court, has no jurisdiction, it may of its own motion transfer the appeal to the other appellate court having jurisdiction, pursuant to rules so providing.<sup>5</sup>

# Failure to respond to request.

The failure to timely respond to a motion to transfer does not divest the court of its inherent power to dispose of the matter consistent with applicable law or require a grant of the motion as a matter of law.<sup>6</sup>

Westlaw. © 2023 Thomson Reuters. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

Footnotes	
1	N.Y.—Estate of Israel, 88 Misc. 2d 999, 390 N.Y.S.2d 577 (Sur. Ct. 1977).
2	Miss.—Burnette v. Hartford Underwriters Ins. Co., 770 So. 2d 948 (Miss. 2000).
3	N.Y.—Brooks v. Board of Higher Ed. and Dormitory Authority of State of N. Y., 113 Misc. 2d 494, 449 N.Y.S.2d 425, 3 Ed. Law Rep. 1084 (Sup 1982).
	Tex.—Weldon v. Hill, 678 S.W.2d 268 (Tex. App. Fort Worth 1984), writ refused n.r.e., (Mar. 6, 1985).
4	Miss.—Smith v. University of Mississippi, 797 So. 2d 956, 158 Ed. Law Rep. 890 (Miss. 2001).
	As to the mandatory or discretionary nature of transfer, see § 261.
5	§ 263.
6	Ga.—Hillis v. Hillis, 256 Ga. 438, 349 S.E.2d 746 (1986).

**End of Document** 

© 2023 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.